| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
|--|--|--|----------------------|
| Notice of Allowability | 09/749,159 | EGGEBRAATEN ET AL. | |
| | Examiner | Art Unit | |
| | Thomas M. Ho | 2134 | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appeal All claims being allowable, PROSECUTION ON THE MERITS IS herewith (or previously mailed), a Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85) NOTICE OF ALLOWABILITY IS NOT A GRANT OF PATENT R of the Office or upon petition by the applicant. See 37 CFR 1.313 | (OR REMAINS) CLOSED in or other appropriate commits in the commits of the commits | n this application. If not includ unication will be mailed in due | ed course. THIS |
| 1. This communication is responsive to 6/22/06. | | | |
| 2. X The allowed claim(s) is/are <u>1-3</u> . | | • | · |
| 3. Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority unal All b) Some* c) None. of the: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority do International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * Certified copies not received: Applicant has THREE MONTHS FROM THE "MAILING DATE" noted below. Failure to timely comply will result in ABANDONN THIS THREE-MONTH PERIOD IS NOT EXTENDABLE. 4. A SUBSTITUTE OATH OR DECLARATION must be subm INFORMAL PATENT APPLICATION (PTO-152) which give 5. CORRECTED DRAWINGS (as "replacement sheets") must (a) including changes required by the Notice of Draftspers 1) hereto or 2) to Paper No./Mail Date [b) including changes required by the attached Examiner' Paper No./Mail Date Identifying indicia such as the application number (see 37 CFR 1 each sheet. Replacement sheet(s) should be labeled as such in the company of the paper No. INFORMATION about the deponent of the paper No. INFORMATION about the deponent sheet of Examiner's comment regarding REQUIREMENT | e been received. e been received in Application cuments have been received of this communication to file MENT of this application. whitted. Note the attached EX es reason(s) why the oath of the submitted. Son's Patent Drawing Reviews a Amendment / Comment of the header according to 37 Chesit of BIOLOGICAL MAT | on No Indicate the distance of the drawings in the front (not the FR 1.121(d). | quirements NOTICE OF |
| Attachment(s) 1. Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2. Notice of Draftperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3. Information Disclosure Statements (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/Paper No./Mail Date 4. Examiner's Comment Regarding Requirement for Deposit of Biological Material | 5. ☐ Notice of Ir 6. ☐ Interview S Paper No 08), 7. ☐ Examiner's | nformal Patent Application (PT Summary (PTO-413), ./Mail Date s Amendment/Comment | |

Application/Control Number: 09/749,159 Page 2

Art Unit: 2134

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 1-3 are pending.

2. The after final amendment of 6/22/06 has been received and entered. Claims 4-12 have been canceled.

Reasons For Allowance

In reference to claim 1:

"Understanding LDAP" by the International Technical Support Organization.discloses an apparatus comprising:

- At least one processor
- A memory coupled to the at least one processor (Page 5, paragraph 2) Where a processor and memory coupled to at least one processor is understood to be a part of the computer system in both the client and server. (Page 5, Figure 1)
- A directory service server that accesses a directory that has a plurality of entries (understood to be a part of LDAP), the plurality of entries including at least one proxy entry that contains security information for a corresponding protected resource, the directory service server including authentication and authorization functions that determine whether a selected one of the plurality of entries may be accessed, where the object has an access control list, and access is determined through this access control list. (Page 7, Section 1.1.4, Directory Security) & (Page 8, paragraph 1)

Art Unit: 2134

• A plurality of protected resources that are not stored within the directory, where the resources may not be stored within the directory because the directory itself can be decentralized or distributed. (Page 6, paragraphs 5-6)

Understanding LDAP" by the International Technical Support Organization fails to disclose:

An application residing in the memory and executed by the at least one processor, the application including a logical mapping that correlates each protected resource with a corresponding proxy entry, the application determining whether the application is authorized to access a selected protected resource by invoking the authentication and authorization functions in the directory service server to determine whether the proxy entry corresponding to the selected resource may be accessed, and if so, the application accesses the selected protected resource, where the logical mappings that correlates with the protected resources are LDAP entries, the application determining whether the application is authorized to access the resource is the software necessary in an LDAP server, and where the information is determined through an ACL.

Previously, the Examiner argued with respect to (Page 8, paragraph 1) that in any directory, such as a folder, there are entries that may be interpreted as proxy entries, in that these entries refer to a particular resource or file. It is understood by those of ordinary skill in the art that technically,

Application/Control Number: 09/749,159

Art Unit: 2134

a directory is merely a logical association, and that it is incapable of physical storage. For this

Page 4

reason, the Examiner has argued that any directory with items or files within it necessarily

contains "proxy" entries---entries that refer to a particular file by means of logical association as

understood by the operating system or the file service.

However during the interview of 10/13/05, Applicant's representative clarified the distinction in

claim 1 in which it is not the operating system or file system, but the application itself which

"includes the logical mapping correlating each protected resource" with a corresponding proxy

entry.

While it is commonplace for an operating system to maintain a logical resource mapping and

correlation, and while it may said to be obvious that such logical mappings may be utilized with

the LDAP entries for the protected resources in an operating system setting, no application has

been found which includes the logical mapping of proxy entries, the application determining

whether the application is authorized to access software necessary in an LDAP server determined

through an ACL.

The Examiner has found the Applicant's arguments to be persuasive. Accordingly, claim 1 is

allowable. Claims 2 and 3 are allowable because claim 1 is allowable.

Conclusion

Art Unit: 2134

3. Any inquiry concerning this communication from the examiner should be directed to Thomas M Ho whose telephone number is (571)272-3835. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F from 9:30 AM - 6:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gregory A. Morse can be reached on (571)272-3838.

The Examiner may also be reached through email through Thomas. Ho6@uspto.gov

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (571)272-2100.

General Information/Receptionist

Telephone: 571-272-2100

Fax: 571-273-8300

Customer Service Representative

Telephone: 571-272-2100

Fax: 571-273-8300

· TMH

June 25th, 2006

ACOURS H. LOUR EXAMINER